WO

Case 2:16-mi-01114-JFM Document 3 Filed 02/22/16 Page 1 of 3

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

1

F	<u>rancis</u> c	co Javi	er Flores-Casillas	Case Number:	16-01114MJ-001					
			Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a blished: (Check one or both, as applicable.)	detention hearing has been s	ubmitted. I conclude that the					
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendar pending trial in this case.									
M	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.									
			PART I FIN	IDINGS OF FACT						
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is								
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U	.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).						
			an offense for which the maximum se	ntence is life imprisonment or	death.					
			an offense for which a maximum term	of imprisonment of ten years	or more is prescribed in					
			a felony that was committed after the offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 314	defendant had been convicted 42(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable	of two or more prior federal state or local offenses.					
			any felony that involves a minor victim device (as those terms are defined in to register under 18 U.S.C. §2250.	or that involves the possession section 921), or any other dan	on or use of a firearm or destructive gerous weapon, or involves a failure					
	(2)	18 U.S. release	S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on ase pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.							
	(3)	18 U.S. convict	C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not motion)(release of the defendant from imp	re than five years has elapsed risonment) for the offense des	since the (date of cribed in finding 1.					
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condit will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendar not rebutted this presumption.								
			Alternat	ive Findings						
	(1)	18 U.S.	C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable caus	e to believe that the defendan	t has committed an offense					
			for which a maximum term of imprisor	nment of ten years or more is	prescribed in1					
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2	2332b.						
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which prescribed.	a maximum term of imprison	ment of 20 years or more is					
			an offense involving a minor victim un	der section	.11					
	(2)	The decondition	fendant has not rebutted the presumptions will reasonably assure the appeara	on established by finding 1 that	at no condition or combination of					

¹⁰Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{^{11}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\S 1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

Case 2:16-mj-01114-JFM Document 3 Filed 02/22/16 Page 2 of 3

Alternative Findings

2) 3) 4)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community. There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror). PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
3)	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information 12 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing
19.20	(Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information 12 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing
1)	(Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information 12 submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing
2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
A	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
Ch.	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
X	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
Ø	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
he de	fendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
>	

 $^{^{12}}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:16-mj-01114-JFM Document 3 Filed 02/22/16 Page 3 of 3

In addition:				
-		 		
	 	 	0-111	

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>February 19, 2016</u>

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge